TRANSITION METAL CATALYSED CYCLOPROPANATION OF OLEFINS

Robert PAULISSEN, A.J. HUBERT and Ph. TEYSSIE

Laboratory of Macromolecular Chemistry and Organic Catalysis

UNIVERSITE DE LIEGE, SART TILMAN - B. 4000 LIEGE - BELGIQUE.

(Received in UK 25 February 1972; accepted for publication 2 March 1972)

The metal-catalysed decomposition of diazoalkanes is a well-known reaction which has been the subject of several recent investigations (1-5). In particular, MOSER, studying the decomposition of ethyl diazoacetate (E.D.A.) in cyclohexene with various phosphite-copper (I) catalysts, has proposed (6) a cyclopropanation mechanism involving a cardene-metal-olefic complex.

We now wish to report a palladium-catalysed cyclopropanation of olefins which can be practically quantitative even under very mild thermal conditions.

The model system selected for this study in homogeneous catalysis is shown in Eq. (.

$$C_{6}H_{5} - CH = CH_{2} + EDA \xrightarrow{Pd(OAe)_{2}} C_{6}H_{5} \xrightarrow{CO_{2}Et} + C_{6}H_{5} \xrightarrow{CO_{2}Et} + N_{2}$$

Palladium dichloride, rhodium trichloride and tris (triphenylphosphine)
radium (1) caloride are also able to catalyse this reaction although being
definetely less effective than palladium acetate.

Both, this striking rate enhancement and the ligand influence on the stereochemistry of the reaction would be consistent with a coordination mechanism; e.g., the trans/cis isomeric ratio in Eq. 1 decreases from 2,0 (no ligand) to 1,0 under addition of 3 moles of triphenylphosphite per mole of palladium acetate.

The model reaction has been extended to various diazocompounds and olefins with

satisfactoring yields: some of these results are summarized in the following table.

r ₂ cn ₂		Diazo/Pd(OAc) ₂	т°с	Yield
N ₂ CH ₂ (a)	Ph CH = CH ₂	250	0	90 (7)
N ₂ CHCO ₂ Et	Ph CH = CH ₂	200	25	96 (8)
N ² CHCO ²	Phc(CH ₃) = CH ₂	200	25	42 (b)

- a) Gazeous CH_2N_2 produced by the procedure of TH.J. DEBOER and H.J. BACKER, Recueil $\underline{73}$, 229 (1954)
- b) Boiling point 114-116° C. under 5 mm.

Further work is in progress to define the mechanistic and preparative implications of these systems.

Acknowledgements: the authors are indebted to E.R.A. (Union Carbide Corp.) for its financial support and to Dr. Hans REIMLINGER for fruitfull discussions.

REFERENCES.

- 1. E. MÜLLER, B. ZECH and H. KESSLER, Fortchr. Chem. Forsch, 7, 128 (1966).
- 2. H. NOZAKI, S. MORIUTI, M. YAMABE and R. NOYORI, Tetrahedron, 24, 3655 (1968).
- 3. R.K. ARMSTRONG, J. Org. Chem., 31, 618 (1966).
- 4. I. MORITANI, Y. YAMAMOTO, H. KONISHI, Chem. Com., 1457 (1968).
- 5. B.W. PEACE and D.S. WULFMAN, Tetrahedron Letters, 3799 (1971).
- 6. W.R. MOSER, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 91, 1135, (1969)

ibid, 91, 1141 (1969).

- 7. H.E. SIMMONS and R.D. SMITH, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 81, 4256 (1959).
- 8. E. BÜCHNER and J. GERONIMUS, Ber., 36, 3782 (1903).